

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Pd: \_\_\_\_\_

#: \_\_\_\_\_

**Harvard and the Brigham call for more than 30 retractions of cardiac stem cell Research**

**Part 1 Directions:** Read the provided article and take notes as you go. **Bullets of information** and **abbreviations are completely acceptable**....these are your notes! Record these bullets in the “**Things I Learned from the Article**” column. For each bullet of information, provide your own personal reflections to and thoughts about what you read. Record your thoughts and reflections in the “**My Reaction(s) to these Findings**” column. Be prepared to discuss with your table and the whole group. 😊

Things I learned from the Article	My Reaction(s) to these Findings

**Part 2 Directions:** When prompted, discuss the article and your thoughts/reflections with your table group. In the space below, record information from your peers that you hadn't considered. Include at least one item from each partner.

Partner Name:	What he/she said that I hadn't considered:

**Part 3 Directions:** Alone or with your table group, provide thorough responses to each of the following questions.

1. *What does the word “retracted” mean and how is it relevant to the article?*
2. *What gives people credibility? Why was Dr. Anversa initially found credible by so many others?*
3. *What aspect of the scientific method did Dr. Anversa complete carelessly leading to his research being found faulty?*
4. *In your opinion, why did Dr. Anversa falsify his data? What was his motivation?*
5. *Why is it important that organizations like STAT and Retraction Watch exist to monitor the integrity and accuracy of scientific discoveries around the world?*
6. *What are the short- and long-term consequences of Dr. Anversa’s actions? Think about: advances in science, the potential future research of other scientists based on this research, and the people that received treatment based on this research.*